

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/693,241	10/24/2003	Brent M. Segal	112020-126 NAN-2CN	1382	
23483	7590 10/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 STATE STREET			DINH, SON T		
BOSTON, M			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2824		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			S			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
Office Action Comment	10/693,241	SEGAL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Son T. Dinh	2824				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>4-10</u> is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·	·					
		·				
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/10.3/3/04.4/14/05	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other: <u>East search l</u>	atent Application (PT	O-152)			
	<del></del>					

Application/Control Number: 10/693,241

Art Unit: 2824

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,643,165. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-3 of the instant application claimed the same structure as claimed in claims 1-2 and 4 of the U.S. Patent No 6,643,165, but describe it in different languages. Specifically, the decoder circuit is equivalent to a selector circuit (note that a decoder is nothing than a circuit for selecting signals), "a current" is equivalent to "an electrical communication" (claim 2 of both the instant application and U.S. Patent No 6,643,165), the branching binary select system is the same in both claim 3 of the instant application and claim 4 of the U.S Patent No 6643,165.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-Kuekes et al disclose a molecular wire crossbar memory.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son T. Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on 571-272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Dinh September 5, 2005

> Son T. Dinh Primary Examiner